

cfr-initials

Clea F. Rees*

Version 1.01 — 2015–04–06

Abstract

`cfr-initials` is a set of 23 tiny packages designed to make it easier to use the decorative and ornamental initials provided by `initials` in L^AT_EX. `initials` provides 23 such fonts in type 1 format, together with the support files required to use them. They cannot be used straightforwardly in L^AT_EX, however, because the lack of package files providing ready-to-use commands is complicated by the non-standard naming of the font definition files. `cfr-initials` is designed to make good that deficit.

1 Requirements

The next sentence but one states the blindingly obvious. Since the blindingly obvious is extremely easy to miss, the previous sentence draws crucial attention to the next sentence in the hopes that readers will avoid the attendant pitfalls. In addition to the usual suspects (L^AT_EX etc.), the packages provided by `cfr-initials` require `initials`.

In addition, the documentation requires:

- `babel`
- `microtype`
- `geometry`
- `booktabs`
- `fancyhdr`
- `pageslts`
- `longtable`
- `verbatim`
- `url`
- `lettrine`

*reesc21 <at> cardiff <dot> ac <dot> uk

2 Using the fonts

To access the fonts, you simply load the relevant package in your preamble. For example:

```
\usepackage{Zallman}
```

Each package provides two new commands. The first is a font *switch*. It switches to the relevant set of initials until the end of the group, or until another command switches to a different family. You will rarely wish to use these commands directly but they are useful in the definitions of macros. For example, these are the commands you will need if using the fonts with the `letrine` package. (See section 3 for examples.)

The second takes a single, mandatory argument and typesets that argument in the appropriate font.

Family	Package	Switch	Command	ABC	abc
Acorn	Acorn	\Acornfamily	\acorn{}	ABC	ABC
AnnSton	AnnSton	\AnnStonfamily	\astone{}	ABC	ABC
ArtNouv	ArtNouv	\ArtNouvfamily	\artnouv{}	ABC	—
ArtNouvc	ArtNouvc	\ArtNouvcfamily	\artnouvc{}	ABC	ABC
Carrickc	Carrickc	\Carrickcfamily	\carr{}	ABC	ABC
Eichenla	Eichenla	\Eichenlafamily	\eichen{}	ABC	ABC
Eileen	Eileen	\Eileenfamily	\eileen{}	ABC	ABC
EileenBl	EileenBl	\EileenBlfamily	\eileenbl{}	ABC	ABC
Elzevier	Elzevier	\Elzevier	\elz{}	ABC	ABC
GotIn	GotIn	\GotInfamily	\gotin{}	ABC	—
GoudyIn	GoudyIn	\GoudyInfamily	\goudyin{}	ABC	—
Kinigcap	Kinigcap	\Kinigcapfamily	\kinig{}	ABC	ABC
Konanur	Konanur	\Konanurfamily	\konanur{}	ABC	ABC
Kramer	Kramer	\Kramerfamily	\kramer{}	ABC	ABC
MorrisIn	MorrisIn	\MorrisInfamily	\morrisin{}	ABC	ABC
Nouveaud	Nouveaud	\Nouveaudfamily	\nouvd{}	ABC	ABC
Romantik	Romantik	\Romantik	\romantik{}	ABC	ABC
Rothdn	Rothdn	\Rothdnfamily	\roth{}	ABC	abe
Royal	Royal	\Royal	\royal{}	ABC	—
Sanremo	Sanremo	\Sanremofamily	\sanremo{}	ABC	ABC
Starburst	Starburst	\Starburstfamily	\starburst{}	ABC	ABC
Typocaps	Typocaps	\Typocapsfamily	\typocap{}	ABC	ABC
Zallman	Zallman	\Zallmanfamily	\zall{}	ABC	ABC

3 Sample lettrines

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.

 S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.